# CVE-2010-1608

MITRE | NVD | CVE.ORG | JSON API | Print: PDF

## Summary

| | |
|---|---|
| **CVE** | CVE-2010-1608 |
| **State** | PUBLISHED |
| **Assigner** | mitre |
| **Source Priority** | CVE Program / NVD first with legacy fallback |
| **Published** | 2010-04-29 17:30:00 UTC |
| **Updated** | 2026-04-29 01:13:23 UTC |
| **Description** | Stack-based buffer overflow in IBM Lotus Notes 8.5 and 8.5fp1, and possibly other versions, allows remote attackers to exe |

## Risk And Classification

**Primary CVSS:** v2.0 10 from nvd@nist.gov

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:C/I:C/A:C

**Problem Types:** CWE-119 | n/a

### CVSS v2.0 Breakdown

Access Vector

Network

Access Complexity

Low

Authentication

None

Confidentiality

Complete

Integrity

Complete

Availability

Complete

AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:C/I:C/A:C

## NVD Known Affected Configurations (CPE 2.3)

| Type | Vendor | Product | Version | Update | Edition | Language |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Application | Ibm | Lotus Notes | 8.5 | All | All | All |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Application | Ibm | Lotus Notes | 8.5.1 | All | All | All |
| Application | Ibm | Lotus Notes | 8.5.1.1 | All | All | All |

## Vendor Declared Affected Products

| Source | Vendor | Product | Version | Platforms |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CNA | Na | N/a | affected n/a | Not specified |

## References

| Reference | Source | Link |
|---|---|---|
| RETIRED: IBM Lotus Notes Unspecified Remote Buffer Overflow Vulnerability | af854a3a-2127-422b-91ae-364da2661108 | www.secu |
| Immunity Forum | af854a3a-2127-422b-91ae-364da2661108 | forum.imr |
| Repository / Oval Repository | af854a3a-2127-422b-91ae-364da2661108 | oval.cisec |
| IBM Lotus Notes Unspecified Buffer Overflow Vulnerability - Advisories - Community | af854a3a-2127-422b-91ae-364da2661108 | secunia.c |
| IBM X-Force Exchange | af854a3a-2127-422b-91ae-364da2661108 | exchange |
| CVE Program record | CVE.ORG | www.cve. |
| NVD vulnerability detail | NVD | nvd.nist.g |

No vendor comments have been submitted for this CVE.

There are currently no legacy QID mappings associated with this CVE.